

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP) AMENDMENT				
RFP NO.	RFP DATE	AMENDMENT NO.	AMENDMENT DATE	
361720	11/28/22	01	12/08/22	
ISSUED BY AND RETURN TO: Central Plateau Cleanup Company P.O. Box 1600		DUE DATE This amendment does not change the date by which offers are due unless a date and time is inserted below.		
Richland, WA 99352		DATE	TIME	
Attn: Andrea Riste		12/19/2022	СОВ	
509/373-7141 (tele)				
andrea_d_riste@rl.gov (e-mail) MSIN: A7-05				

DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT

The purpose of this RFP amendment is to:

1) Submit responses to bidder questions/requests for clarification.

All other terms and conditions of this RFP remain unchanged.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the Request for Proposal remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

II. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AMENDMENT

Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment in writing, by the date and time specified for proposal submissions or the revised Due Date above (if revised), whichever is later. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE DESIGNATED LOCATION BY THE SPECIFIED DATE AND TIME MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If, by virtue of this amendment you wish to change your offer, such change must make reference to the solicitation and this amendment.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR	NAME OF SIGNER
	TITLE OF SIGNER
OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	
	DATE

Q1: In the RFP there is the following statement on schedule:

Schedule – Offeror shall include in their proposal a Project Schedule demonstrating their plan and sequence to execute the work prescribed by the Statement of Work within the timeframe stipulated.

Cannot find any reference to the timeframe

A1: We don't know how quickly any of vendor can deliver this equipment, so we have not attempted to impose any schedule requirement.

Since we are mostly just purchasing equipment with this SOW (the quadruped robots themselves, and possibly some additional measuring equipment that will be mounted / attached to the robots), what we need is delivery commitment –



RFP 361720 Remote Robotics for Inner Area End States Project

required in the RFP or SOW (beyond the contract award date and the submittal register). Please could you clarify?	what is the lead time to provide this equipment, after contract award. We are also asking for them to include training (5.2 of the SOW), which should be on a schedule that we work out with the supplier after an order is placed.
Q2. Do you have a preferred mercury sensor you would like on the robot?	A2. Jerome J505 for Mercury.
Q3. For radios do you want 2 per robot plus 1 more for repeater?	A3. The radios per robot need to meet the requirements stated in section 2.9 of CP-ENG-0188.
Q4. We have been reviewing the requirements for this solicitation, and there are some requirements that we have not yet tested against, and are therefore unsure if we can meet them (today). As an example, some of the areas I believe we would require testing and potentially additional development work include: Operation in a radiation environment,	A4 our specification states (2.2 Field Conditions) only "Offeror to state ability of their equipment in a radiation field to absorb; dose rate, and accumulative dose". The expectation is only that any tested or demonstrated ability be stated in the offerors proposal. If there is no experience in a radiation environment then simply state that.
Q5. We have been reviewing the requirements for this solicitation, and there are some requirements that we have not yet tested against, and are therefore unsure if we can meet them (today). As an example, some of the areas I believe we would require testing and potentially additional development work include: Ensuring our robot is intrinsically safe, -	Q5. our specification states (2.8.B Physical Abilities) only states this as optional, and if not available then offeror to state what can be offered. If this is not available then simply state that.
Q6. We have been reviewing the requirements for this solicitation, and there are some requirements that we have not yet tested against, and are therefore unsure if we can meet them (today). As an example, some of the areas I believe we would require testing and potentially additional development work include: Ensuring our electrical components meet all of the listed standards and certifications, and	A6 our specification (2.5 Electrical) does require NRTL. This is a general requirement for all electrical equipment used on the Hanford site. If there are components that are not UL or NRTL then the offeror should make it clear in their proposal what those components are and we will need to evaluate whether or not that would be acceptable.



RFP 361720 Remote Robotics for Inner Area End States Project

Q7. We have been reviewing the requirements for this solicitation, and there are some requirements that we have not yet tested against, and are therefore unsure if we can meet them (today). As an example, some of the areas I believe we would require testing and potentially additional development work include: Ensuring our electrical components meet all of the listed standards and certifications, and	A7 our specification states (2.6 Autonomy) reflects our understanding of the capabilities of quadrupedal robots currently available. If offeror is not able to satisfy any of the stated requirements then those exceptions should be clearly identified in their proposal.
Q8. Is this solicitation intended to be a COTS (or modified COTS) procurement?	A8 Yes
Q9. Would there be an opportunity to pursue this as an RDT&E project/contract under this solicitation?	A9. No, that is not our intention at this time.
Q10. With respect to requirement SOW 4.4.1.12, are "hard coded passwords in applications or digital certificates" required, or prohibited?	With respect to requirement SOW 4.4.1.12, are "no hard coded passwords in applications or digital certificates" required, or prohibited? Therefore, we prohibit the use of hard coded passwords in applications or digital certificates.